

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,331	03/22/2004	Hiroshi Nakayama	016907-1636	9097
22428	7590 12/22/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			LEE, PETER	
SUITE 500 3000 K STRE	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2852	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>nau</u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~			
	10/805,331	NAKAYAMA, HIROSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter Lee	2852				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory i - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this community. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	ation.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-19	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents. 		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e			
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.				
·						
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) Linterview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	B/08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/22/2004	6) 🔲 Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

Figure 1 contains the reference number 140 not found in the specifications.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

On page 4 lines 26 and 27 reference is made to parts 141 and 142 of figure 1, but can not be found in the referred figure.

On page 5 line15 the "operating panel 80" is mentioned but not actually in figure 6.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Art Unit: 2852

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toizumi (US pn 6011938).

Toisumi teaches an image forming apparatus (as seen in Fig. 6) comprising: a photosensitive drum (fig. 6 part 15) (ie. forming unit) onto which a toner image is electrostatically made and transferred to a transfer drum (fig. 6 part 11) and then to a sheet paper (col. 7 lines 25-45) (ie. recording medium); a fixing device (fig. 6 part 4) for performing heat fixing the toner image on the sheet paper (col. 7 lines 51-56); and a heat drive circuit (fig. 5 part 45) (ie. control unit) that takes the signal from a heat detection sensor (fig. 5 part 44; note: col. 8 lines 35-45) and converts values to be compared to those in a look up table (fig. 6 part 48), and uses the compared values to determine the power being supplied to a heater lamp (fig. 5 part 43)

Art Unit: 2852

(ie. temperature control of the fixing unit based on a table) inside of a heat roller of the fixing device. The look up table is taught to store the value corresponding to a set of predetermined temperatures, along with values for power to be supplied to the heater lamp in response to the results of a comparison circuit (fig. 6 part 49) (col. 8 lines 47-53).

Toisumi also teaches that an image forming operation is possible once the heat roll reaches a first set temperature (col. 11 lines 40-44) (ie. heating the recording medium when the fixing unit reaches a predetermined temperature). However, when a temperature of the heat roll (fig. 5 part 41) is known to be higher than a predetermined value, the temperature control reduces the power sent to it according to the look up table (fig. 6 part 48) in order to lower the temperature to another value (col. 12 lines 15-23) (ie. when temperature change of the table is greater than a predetermined value, the control unit stops rising the temperature of the fixing unit).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 4, 10, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toizumi in view of Nishida et al (US pn 6301454).

Toizumi, among other things, teaches all of the limitations from which the above claims

Page 5

Art Unit: 2852

depend upon. Toizumi does not teach the fixing roller containing a heater to control a central portion of the roller and separately controlled heaters to heat both the end portions. Nor does he teach the practice of alternately rising the temperatures of the two heaters.

It is Nishida who teaches a fixing unit (fig. 1) with a heater roller (fig. 1 part 1) that contains within it two heaters, one main heater (fig. 1 part 2) (ie. heater which heats central portion) and one sub heater (fig. 1 part 3) (ie. heater which heats both ends). Nishida also teaches a fixing heater controlling method that has a first period in which only the first main heater is driven, and a second time period following the first where only the second sub heater is driven (col. 3 lines 50-55) (ie. control unit alternately carries out rising temperatures).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to include two heaters inside of a heater/fixing roller and alternate the heating between the two as taught by Nishida, and use them in a fixing device as taught by Toisumi. Although the two fixing devices are not exactly the same, they both are of the same field of being used to fix a toner image onto a paper sheet, and it is very possible and obvious for a controller taught in the invention of Toisumi to handle the alternating powering between two heaters if they were to be within a fixing roller. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to prevent unwanted rush currents during initial start ups (col. 3 line 63-col. 4 line 5).

5. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toizumi in view of Sawamura et al. (US pn 6061546).

Toizumi teaches all of the limitations from which the above claims depend upon.

Art Unit: 2852

However, Toizumi does not explicitly teach using temperature sensors for either a photosensitive drum or a recording medium housing cassette to control the temperature of a fixing unit.

It is Sawamura who teaches an image forming apparatus that utilizes various sensors, one of them being a photosensitive drum temperature sensor (fig. 1 part 40), to send to a control section (fig. 1 part 50) that will maintain a desirable temperature in the fusing roller (col. 11 lines 19-25 and lines 35-45) (ie. temperature control of fixing unit in consideration of at least a signal from a temperature sensor of a photosensitive drum).

Although the first reference, Toizumi, does not teach the use of cooling fans inside of the image forming apparatus, this feature is well known in the art as taught by the second reference, Sawamura; and the two references Toizumi and Sawamura are analogous art because they both are from the same field of image forming apparatuses that utilize a controlling means to adjust a fixing temperature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include cooling fans to cool the inside of an image forming apparatus, as taught by Toizumi; and further to modify the heat drive circuit of the image forming apparatus taught by the base reference Toizumi, to operate according to a photosensitive drum temperature sensor to turn on and off cooling fans as taught by Sawamura. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to locate a temperature sensor for a photosensitive drum in order to adjust the temperature to prevent condensation on the drum (col. 11 lines 35-45). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to also have a controlling unit (ie. heat drive circuit) consider a temperature of a photosensitive drum as taken by a sensor to control a temperature of a fixing unit because this temperature plays a part in the overall temperature

Application/Control Number: 10/805,331

Art Unit: 2852

inside the apparatus which may lead to unwanted overheating of the thermal fuser (col. 11 lines

19-25).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Peter Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2846. The

examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 9:00 am-5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PL 12/10/04

Arthur T. Grimley
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 7

Technology Center 2800